

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/750,266 | 12/29/2000 | Ephriam Feig | 1963-7339 | 7398 |
| 75 | 90 05/10/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| William E. Le | wis | LIPMAN, JACOB | | |
| Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Ave. | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Locust Valley, NY 11560 | | | 2134 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/10/2003 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| K | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 1 |

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/750,266 | FEIG ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Jacob Lipman | 2134 | | |

| Defere the Filipp of an Annual Drief | 03/130,200 FEIG ET AL. | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Jacob Lipman | 2134 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | | | | | |
| | HE REPLY FILED 14 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | |
| ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:) ☐ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI). | f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE | D WITHIN TWO | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENIA TO THE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1) | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re | TE below); educing or simplifying | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | ` '' | ompliant Amendment | (DTOL 224) | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | omphant Amendment | (FTOL-324). | | | | |
| | allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-17 and 19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence i | is necessary | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessard. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The added limitations to claims 1, 15, 17, and 19 are seen as new issue for further limiting the subset to be less than the full set..

GREGORY MORSE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100